

Mole Valley parking review 2024: Decision report

A document explaining our final decisions on proposed parking schemes following public feedback

The Mole Valley parking review 2024 proposals, which were agreed by county councillors, were advertised from 11 April 2024 for a period of 4 weeks. As part of this process, street notices were erected at each location and notification cards were posted to those properties immediately adjacent to the proposed changes.

All of these documents referred members of the public to drawings and a statement of reasons available online via our [Mole Valley parking review 2024](#) webpage.

Responses to the advertisement were received via an online form through the webpage, by email or by letter. Responses had to be received by 09 May 2024.

During the advertising period we received around 500 responses. All responses have been read and considered in full. For the purpose of this report the responses have been summarised and a decision on how to proceed following these considered responses.

The decisions made in the report are final and there is no appeal process. Any further requests for changes to these agreed restrictions will need to be submitted as part of a future parking review of Mole Valley.

At locations where no objections or comments were received there is no analysis and the proposals will, unless otherwise stated, be introduced as advertised, in other words, without any changes from the advertised proposals. Where changes have been made, there will usually be a revised drawing in addition to the written description.



Contents

Ashtead division proposals	5
Ashtead	5
Woodfield Road	5
Links Road	5
Meadow Road	5
Stonny Croft	5
Forest Way / Bramley Way	6
Woodfield Lane	6
Greville Park Road	6
Ottways Lane / Paddocks Way	6
West Farm Avenue	7
Skinners Lane / The Mead	7
Skinners Lane	7
Taleworth Road / Taleworth Close	7
Leatherhead and Fetcham East division proposals	9
Leatherhead	9
Kingston Road	9
Aperdele Road	9
Cleeve Road / Long Shaw	9
Randalls park Avenue	9
Barnett Wood Lane	10
Bypass Road	10
Tudor Walk	10
Linden Gardens	10
Homelands	11
Fortyfoot Road	11
Windfield	11
Linden Road	12
Highlands Road	12
Fetcham	12
Cannon Side	12
Cobham Road	12
River Lane	13
Bookham and Fetcham West division proposals	14
Fetcham	14
The Street	14

Cock Lane.....	14
Warenne Road.....	14
Copperfields.....	14
Bookham	15
Mill Close	15
Leatherhead Road	15
Rectory Lane.....	15
Westhumble	16
Chapel Lane.....	16
Westhumble Street	16
Box Hill	16
Boxhill Road.....	16
Dorking	16
London Road	16
Ranmore Road / Ashcombe Road	17
Station Road	17
Parkway.....	17
Ansell Road.....	18
Hart Road (Zone J) resident permit scheme	18
Westcott	18
Westcott Street	18
Brockham	20
Tynedale Road.....	20
Beare Green.....	20
Leith Road	20
Capel.....	20
Vicarage Lane / The Street	20
Hookwood	21
Mistley Gardens	21
Povey Cross Road.....	21
Dorking South and Holmwoods division proposals	22
Dorking	22
Vincent Road	22
Rose Hill	22
Harrowlands Park	22
Hampstead Lane / Longfield Lane	22
Holmesdale	23

Holmesdale Road 23
Spook Hill..... 23

Ashtead division proposals

The county councillor for this division is [Chris Townsend](#).

Ashtead

Woodfield Road

Overview:

- Drawing number 1
- Objections: 7
- Other comments: 12
- Final decision is not to proceed

Analysis:

The proposal was to introduce an extension to the double yellow lines to prevent obstructive parking and improve traffic flow on the bend. The consensus was that parking in this area did not cause a major problem and would only lead to difficulty with residents trying to find somewhere to park. The final decision is not to proceed with these proposals.

Links Road

Overview:

- Drawing number 1
- Support: 5
- Other comments: 12
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

Analysis:

The proposal is to introduce double yellow lines on the junction of Links Road and Ashtead Woods Road to prevent obstructive parking and to increase forward visibility. The general consensus was that these proposals are required, however further restrictions need to be introduced into the area. The final decision is to proceed as advertised.

Meadow Road

Overview:

- Drawing number 2
- Objections: 1
- Support: 1
- Final decision is not to proceed

Analysis:

The proposal was to introduce double yellow lines opposite Hillside Road to improve access through the junction with Meadow Road and Bramley Way. There were very few comments relating to this proposal, one for and one against. As there was not overwhelming feedback in favour of the proposal, the decision has been made not to proceed.

Stonny Croft

Overview:

- Drawing number 3

- No feedback received
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

Analysis:

The proposal is to extend double yellow lines at the south-western end of Stonny Croft in line with proposals to improve pedestrian accessibility and improvements already made at the junction with Berry Meade. As there was no feedback for this proposal, the decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

Forest Way / Bramley Way**Overview:**

- Drawing number 3
- Support: 1
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

Analysis:

The proposals is to introduce double yellow lines on the junction of Bramley Way and Forest Way to prevent obstructive parking and to increase forward visibility. Also introduce double yellow lines on Bramley Way opposite Stonny Croft to improve access to the junction. There was not a vast amount of feedback, but what we did receive was positive, so the decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

Woodfield Lane**Overview:**

- Drawing number 4
- Objections: 2
- Support: 10
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

Analysis:

The proposal is to introduce double yellow lines along the entire western side of the access road to nos.3 to 29 Woodfield Lane, to prevent obstructive parking and improve access. There was overwhelming support in favour of this proposal, so the decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

Greville Park Road**Overview:**

- Drawing number 5
- Support: 1
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

Analysis:

The proposal is to introduce lengths of double yellow lines to prevent obstructive parking near private accesses, improving forward visibility. The only feedback we received was positive, so the decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

Ottways Lane / Paddocks Way**Overview:**

- Drawing number 5
- Objections: 1
- Other comments: 2
- Support: 5

- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

Analysis:

The proposal is to introduce double yellow lines to prevent obstructive parking on what is quite a narrow section of Ottways Lane, this is to improve access along this section of the road. The feedback suggests that the lines would be welcome, so the decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

West Farm Avenue**Overview:**

- Drawing number 6
- Objections: 1
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

Analysis:

The proposal is to extend double yellow lines up to driveway to prevent obstructive parking and improve access. The only objection remarked that this proposal would reduce the amount of parking in the road, however there would be minimal impact and the proposals would help to improve safety, so the decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

Skidders Lane / The Mead**Overview:**

- Drawing number 7
- Objections: 24
- Final decision is not to proceed

Analysis:

The proposal to introduce double yellow lines on the junction of Skidders Lane and The Mead has been met with a considerable number of objections, with the overwhelming majority stating that this would take away precious parking for those houses that do not have parking facilities in the road. The decision has been made not to proceed with this proposal.

Skidders Lane**Overview:**

- Drawing number 7
- Objections: 10
- Support: 7
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

Analysis:

This proposal is to introduce double yellow lines adjacent to number 1 Virginia Close and 56 Oakfield Road up to the driveways of numbers 33 and 44 Skidders Lane to prevent pavement parking on the bend, which is currently forcing pedestrians into the live carriageway. There is support for these proposals, so the decision has been made to proceed as advertised

Taleworth Road / Taleworth Close**Overview:**

- Drawing number 8
- Support: 1
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

Analysis:

The proposal is to introduce double yellow lines on the junction of Taleworth Road and Taleworth Close to prevent obstructive parking and improve forward visibility. The only feedback was in support of the proposals, so the decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

Leatherhead and Fetcham East division proposals

The county councillor for this division is [Tim Hall](#).

Leatherhead

Kingston Road

Overview:

- Drawing number 9
- Objections: 1
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

Analysis:

The proposal is to make the current advisory disabled bay into a mandatory one, to prevent non-disabled users from parking in it. Although we did receive one objection, it is more of a statement with no reasoning or explanation. The decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

Aperdele Road

Overview:

- Drawing number 9
- Objections: 1
- Support: 2
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

Analysis:

The proposal is to introduce double yellow lines to improve access for properties that have been affected since the removal of the school keep clear markings. There are plans for the future of the school located in this area, which could greatly affect parking in the future and access. It has been decided to proceed as advertised, as this proposal is also likely to assist and future access requirements to the school site.

Cleeve Road / Long Shaw

Overview:

- Drawing number 10
- Objections: 6
- Support: 1
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

Analysis:

The proposal is to introduce double yellow lines on the junction of Cleeve Road and Long Shaw to prevent obstructive parking, improve forward visibility and improve access. The objections relate to availability of parking, but we must consider safety at this junction, therefore the decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

Randalls park Avenue

Overview:

- Drawing number 10
- Objection: 7
- Support: 14
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

Analysis:

The proposal is to introduce double yellow lines in the turning circle to enable vehicles to turn, as is its original intended purpose, rather than using private driveways. Accesses are also obstructed by parked cars. The vast majority of feedback is in support of the proposals, therefore the decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

Barnett Wood Lane**Overview:**

- Drawing number 11
- No feedback received
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

Analysis:

The proposal is to introduce single yellow lines, Monday to Saturday 8am to 6pm, to prevent obstructive parking on the approach to the roundabout. This is leading to problems with vehicles having to queue back on to the roundabout. As there were no objections, the decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

Bypass Road**Overview:**

- Drawing number 11
- No feedback received
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

Analysis:

The proposal is to extend double yellow lines up to the private access of no.1 as there is not enough room for a vehicle to park and when one does it obstructs access and egress from the private driveway. As there were no objections, the decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

Tudor Walk**Overview:**

- Drawing number 12
- Support: 3
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

Analysis:

The proposal is to introduce double yellow lines opposite numbers 24 and 26 to improve access on to private driveways and prevent pavement parking. As there were no objections received, the decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

Linden Gardens**Overview:**

- Drawing number 13
- Other comments: 2
- Support: 14
- Final decision is to proceed with amendments

Analysis:

This proposal was to introduce double yellow lines along the entire length of the south eastern side and on both corners adjacent and opposite number two, to facilitate easier

access for residents and refuse vehicles, to prevent obstructive parking. The majority of feedback is in favour of the proposals, but one of the other comments asked that the length of the restriction be reduced in front of number 2. The decision has been made to proceed with the proposals, but to amend the length as requested.

Homelands

Overview:

- Drawing number 13
- Objections: 10
- Other comments: 4
- Support: 5
- Final decision is not to proceed

Analysis:

The proposal was to introduce a resident permit scheme that operates from Monday to Friday 9am to 5pm. This is to improve resident access, prevent obstructive parking and allow residents to park their vehicles in this road. The feedback from residents of Homelands was split, with more saying that they are against the proposals than are for them. There was other feedback from residents of Grosvenor Court who use the road to park in and from parents of the school. As there was no majority in favour of the proposals, the decision has been made not to proceed and to possibly refer to the next parking review with a longer time period.

Fortyfoot Road

Overview:

- Drawing number 14
- Objections: 51
- Other comments: 1
- Final decision is to proceed with amendments

Analysis:

The proposal was to introduce double yellow lines at various points along Fortyfoot Road - to improve access and prevent obstructive parking on both the carriageway and footways. The majority of the feedback is from the school and associated parents, with a few objections from residents of Beech Holt. The parents / school's concern is over potential lack of availability for parking if the proposals were to go ahead. The resident's concerns are over the offset of parking into Beech Holt.

The decision has been made not to progress the proposals on the central island and only to progress those that are on junctions, this is to keep junctions clear of parking and facilitate improved movement and access around the junctions.

The decision has been made to proceed with amendments.

Windfield

Overview:

- Drawing number 15
- Objections: 3
- Final decision is not to proceed

Analysis:

The proposal was to introduce double yellow lines at the access to the garages behind numbers 39 to 42, as the access is currently blocked with parked cars. The only feedback

received was objecting to the proposals. There has also been a number of bollards installed in the same area as the proposals to prevent the obstructive parking, so there is no need for any new parking restrictions. The decision has been made not to proceed.

Linden Road

Overview:

- Drawing number 16
- Support: 3
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

Analysis:

This proposal is to extend the existing double yellow lines up to the private access to prevent obstructive parking and improve forward visibility. As the feedback was in favour of the proposal, the decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

Highlands Road

Overview:

- Drawing number 45
- Objections: 3
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

Analysis:

The proposal is to revert the current resident permit bays between Reigate Road and Beechwood park to unlimited parking bays. This is because the current bays are unutilised in the current permit scheme, so it will provide more parking for residents who are not eligible for a permit. The objections site that the bays will be used by commuters and reduce space for residents, however these bays are underutilised and residents in nearby roads who do not have access to permit schemes are likely to use these spaces long term. The decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

Fetcham

Cannon Side

Overview:

- Drawing number 18
- No feedback received
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

Analysis:

The proposal is to make the current disabled bays mandatory, so that only blue badge holders can use them and to introduce double yellow lines opposite, as when cars park here, the road is not wide enough to then accommodate disabled users in the bay. As there were no objections to this proposal, the decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

Cobham Road

Overview:

- Drawing number 19
- Objections: 1
- Other comments: 1
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

Analysis:

The proposal is to introduce double yellow lines across the accesses between numbers 246a and 246 and between numbers 226 and 228 – this is to prevent obstructive parking and to improve access for retailers. The other comment is requesting additional restrictions along Cobham Road, which we cannot introduce at this time.

The objection refers to the parking bays on The Street near the roundabout and request that we make the bays into chevron parking, however the proposals do not allow for this manner of parking.

The decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

River Lane

Overview:

- Drawing number 19
- Objections: 3
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

Analysis:

The proposal is to introduce double yellow lines on the junction of Cobham Road and River Lane to prevent pavement parking that obstructs the view of motorists when exiting River Lane and also is a danger to pedestrians.

The feedback suggests that parking on the footway and accesses to driveways is not causing an obstruction.

Evidence has shown that vehicles do park in the way of the pedestrian crossing. The Highway Code also recommends that drivers do not park within ten metres of a junction.

The decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

Bookham and Fetcham West division proposals

The county councillor for this division is [Clare Curran](#).

Fetcham

The Street

Overview:

- Drawing number 19
- Objections: 1
- Other comments: 1
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

Analysis:

The proposal is to improve the current layout of bays, so that the disabled bay is wide enough to accommodate the width required by disabled users and the other bays remarked accordingly. Both sets of feedback refer to the requirement of additional restrictions along The Street, rather than the proposals suggested. The decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

Cock Lane

Overview:

- Drawing number 20
- Support: 1
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

Analysis:

The proposal is to extend the current double yellow lines to improve visibility on the approach to the bend and access to the hall. There were no objections to this proposal, therefore the decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

Warren Road

Overview:

- Drawing number 20
- Objections: 1
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

Analysis:

The proposal is to extend the current double yellow lines on the western side up to the first driveway to improve visibility on the approach to the junction and improve access to the junction. Although we did receive one objection stating that it would affect available on-street parking, we have to consider safety on the approach to the junction, therefore the decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

Copperfields

Overview:

- Drawing number 11
- Objections: 1
- Final decision is not to proceed

Analysis:

The proposal was to introduce a small section of double yellow lines on the bend adjacent to number 14, to remove parking from the bend, improve forward visibility and access. The feedback suggests that this location is not problematic, therefore the decision has been made not to proceed with this proposal.

Bookham

Mill Close

Overview:

- Drawing number 22
- Objections: 1
- Support: 2
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

Analysis:

The proposal is to extend the double yellow lines from the junction to prevent obstructive parking, improve access and increase forward visibility. The feedback suggests that these proposal are required, therefore the decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

Leatherhead Road

Overview:

- Drawing number 23
- Objections: 1
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

Analysis:

This proposal is to introduce double yellow lines across the frontage of the disability shop to prevent obstruction of private parking that is required for disabled customers. The objection seems to suggest that this would be detrimental to customer parking for the shops, however the proposal only covers the dropped kerb that accesses the disability shop forecourt parking where no vehicles should be parking anyway. The decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

Rectory Lane

Overview:

- Drawing number 24
- No feedback received
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

Analysis:

The proposal is to introduce double yellow lines on the junction to prevent obstructive parking, improve access and increase forward visibility. As there were no objections received, the decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

Dorking Hills division proposals

The county councillor for this division is [Hazel Watson](#).

Westhumble

Chapel Lane

Overview:

- Drawing number 25
- Objections: 2
- Support: 36
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

Analysis:

This proposal is to introduce double yellow lines opposite the existing ones. Since introducing double yellow lines on the northern side, parking has migrated to the southern side, on what is a very narrow road. As there is overwhelming feedback in favour of the proposals, the decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

Westhumble Street

Overview:

- Drawing number 26
- Objections: 1
- Other comments: 2
- Support: 33
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

Analysis:

This proposal is to introduce double yellow lines opposite the existing ones. Since introducing double yellow lines on the northern side, parking has migrated to the southern side, on what is a very narrow road. As there is overwhelming feedback in favour of the proposals, the decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

Box Hill

Boxhill Road

Overview:

- Drawing number 27
- Support: 3
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

Analysis:

The proposal is to extend the double yellow lines from the last parking review, this proposal is to improve access for properties opposite who currently have to egress private driveways on to the wrong side of the road. As feedback is positive, the decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

Dorking

London Road

Overview:

- Drawing number 28
- Objections: 1
- Other comments: 1
- Final decision is to proceed with minor amendments

Analysis:

The proposal is to introduce limited waiting bays in the gap between the electric vehicle parking bays and the access to Taylor Place. Also to introduce double yellow lines across the access of Taylor Place to improve access. The other comment is asking for yellow lines up to the internal car park of Taylor Place, the proposal covers the car park entrance.

The objection is concerned that we are removing parking for an hour or two, when in fact we are leaving the parking in place, but limiting to 2 hours.

The decision has been made to proceed with minor amendments to ensure clear visibility when exiting Taylor Place.

Ranmore Road / Ashcombe Road**Overview:**

- Drawing number 29
- Objections: 27
- Other comments: 3
- Support: 4
- Final decision is to proceed with amendments

Analysis:

These proposals have been recommended by the police and county officers to improve safety around the school, especially for pedestrians as parking obstructs visibility when crossing the road to access the school.

The majority of feedback is over concern that resident parking will be greatly reduced in the area, therefore the decision has been made to reduce the extents of the proposals to only include junctions and the most dangerous areas of parking, leaving some parking to act as a traffic calming measure.

Station Road**Overview:**

- Drawing number 30
- Objections: 1
- Other comments: 1
- Support: 15
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

Analysis:

The proposal is to introduce a residents permit scheme for the residents of Spring Gardens following receipt of a petition. The majority of feedback was in favour of the proposals, with one other comment requesting inclusion in the scheme. The decision has been made to proceed with the proposal and include the additional address.

Parkway**Overview:**

- Drawing number 30
- Support: 3

- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

Analysis:

The proposal is to introduce a section of double yellow lines across the access between numbers 91 and 109, for the same extents as the current hatched markings, to prevent obstructive parking and restriction of access to properties. As feedback was positive, the decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

Ansell Road**Overview:**

- Drawing number 44
- Objections: 2
- Other comments: 2
- Support: 1
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

Analysis:

The proposal is to introduce double yellow lines on the eastern side of Ansell Road, adjacent to the sorting office, to prevent obstructive footway and carriageway parking.

Although there were objections, mainly from the Post Office, the decision has been made to proceed as advertised as the current situation is dangerous and causes issues for motorists and pedestrians alike.

Hart Road (Zone J) resident permit scheme**Overview:**

- No drawing
- Objections: 5
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

Analysis:

The proposal is to allow residents of numbers 1 to 7 Farmers Court, 17A Ansell Road to apply for permits in zone J.

These premises, which have now been converted to residential, were eligible for permits under this scheme, therefore the change to allow the new residents to park will have very little impact on the current situation.

The decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

Westcott**Westcott Street****Overview:**

- Drawing number 35
- Objections: 5
- Other comments: 1
- Support: 2
- Final decision is to proceed with amendments

Analysis:

The proposal was to introduce double yellow lines in place of hatching and existing single yellow lines on the approach to the A25 Guildford Road to provide a passing place and to improve access and egress from the junction, improving forward visibility and safety.

The majority of comments were against the proposals at North Street. There is very little parking here for residents, so it has been decided that this part of the proposal should not be progressed, however the passing place adjacent to Wintershow should be progressed to allow better access.

Dorking Rural division proposals

The county councillor for this division is [Helyn Clack](#).

Brockham

Tynedale Road

Overview:

- Drawing number 36
- Objections: 15
- Other comments: 2
- Support: 3
- Final decision is not to proceed

Analysis:

The proposal was to introduce double yellow lines adjacent to number 15 and opposite to allow the new buses to be able to turn at this location. The bus company has threatened to remove the service if this cannot be achieved. There are an overwhelming number of residents against this proposal. Adjustments have been made to the kerb alignment since this proposal was originally received, which has dealt with the issues of the bus turning at this location, therefore, the decision has been made not to proceed with these proposals.

Beare Green

Leith Road

Overview:

- Drawing number 40
- No comments received
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

Analysis:

The proposal is to extend the existing double yellow lines on the approach to the bend to improve forward visibility and prevent obstructive parking. As no objections were received, the decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

Capel

Vicarage Lane / The Street

Overview:

- Drawing number 41
- Objections: 1
- Final decision is not to proceed

Analysis:

The proposal was to introduce double yellow lines on the junction of Vicarage Lane and The Street to prevent obstructive parking and to increase forward visibility. There have been objections to this proposal outside of the consultation, so it is felt that at this time, the proposals should not be progressed.

Hookwood

Mistley Gardens

Overview:

- Drawing number 1
- Objections: 9
- Other comments: 2
- Support: 6
- Final decision is not to proceed

Analysis:

The proposal was to introduce a resident permit scheme on Mistley Gardens following receipt of a petition to prevent obstructive parking, especially by holiday makers who may leave their cars for several weeks when using Gatwick airport. The majority decision is that the proposal is not wanted, so the decision has been made not to proceed.

Povey Cross Road

Overview:

- Drawing number 1
- Support: 2
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

Analysis:

The proposal is to remove existing parking bays that are surplus to requirements and replace with double yellow lines, as these are being abused by taxi drivers, who cause noise and pollution issues. As the feedback is positive, the decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

Dorking South and Holmwoods division proposals

The county councillor for this division is [Stephen Cooksey](#).

Dorking

Vincent Road

Overview:

- Drawing number 31
- Objections: 44
- Other comments: 2
- Support: 21
- Final decision is not to proceed

Analysis:

The proposal was to introduce a residents permit scheme for the residents of Vincent Road, however, following the overwhelming number of objections to the proposal, the decision has been made not to proceed.

Rose Hill

Overview:

- Drawing number 32
- Objections: 3
- Other comments: 1
- Final decision is not to proceed

Analysis:

Introduce yellow lines in the gaps around the traffic island to improve access for residents and larger vehicles, such as refuse and delivery vehicles.

The feedback suggests that access is not an issue and that removing these valuable parking spaces will cause issues for those on Rose Hill who do not have any off street parking.

The decision has been made not to proceed.

Harrowlands Park

Overview:

- Drawing number 33
- Objections: 1
- Support: 2
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

Analysis:

The proposal is to extend the current double yellow lines to the access for Mulberry Heights, to improve forward visibility on the bend and create safer access and egress for residents. The decision has been made to proceed as advertised for safety reasons.

Hampstead Lane / Longfield Lane

Overview:

- Drawing number 26
- No feedback received

- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

Analysis:

The proposals is to introduce yellow lines on the corner to prevent obstructive parking and improve access. As no objections were received, the decision has been made to proceed as advertised.

Holmesdale

Holmesdale Road

Overview:

- Drawing numbers 37, 38 and 39
- Objections: 36
- Other comments: 2
- Support: 11
- Final decision is not to proceed

Analysis:

The proposal was to introduce additional double yellow lines along Holmesdale Road to improve forward visibility and access along its entire length. The overwhelming majority of feedback was not in favour of any more restrictions, as these areas are used for resident parking and the fear is that restricting this would move the additional parking into side roads causing unnecessary obstruction in those. The decision has been made not to proceed with any proposals on Holmesdale Road

Spook Hill

Overview:

- Drawing number 39
- Objections: 7
- Other comments: 2
- Support: 9
- Final decision is to proceed as advertised

Analysis:

The proposal is to introduce double yellow lines at the access to Bentsbrook Close to improve visibility, improve access and prevent obstructive parking. The majority of the feedback is in favour of the proposals as parking in this location is dangerous. The decision has been made to proceed as advertised.